

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|---|-------------------|----------------------|-------------------------|------------------|
| 09/882,093 | 06/18/2001 | F.C. Thomas Allnutt | 031676.0247 | 7694 |
| 21967 | 7590 11/04/2004 | | EXAM | INER |
| | & WILLIAMS LLP | DAVIS, DEBORAH A | | |
| INTELLECTUAL PROPERTY DEPARTMENT 1900 K STREET, N.W. SUITE 1200 WASHINGTON, DC 20006-1109 | | | ART UNIT | PAPER NUMBER |
| | | | 1641 | |
| WABIIIIOI | ON, DC 20000-1109 | | DATE MAILED: 11/04/2004 | ļ |

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

| | Application No. | Applicant(s) | | | |
|--|---|---|--|--|--|
| | 09/882,093 | ALLNUTT ET AL. | | | |
| Office Action Summary | Examiner | Art Unit | | | |
| | Deborah A Davis | 1641 | | | |
| The MAILING DATE of this communication app | pears on the cover sheet wi | th the correspondence address | | | |
| A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a repl - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b). | 36(a). In no event, however, may a r y within the statutory minimum of thirt will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON | eply be timely filed y (30) days will be considered timely. THS from the mailing date of this communication. ANDONED (35.LLS C. 8.133) | | | |
| Status | | | | | |
| 1)⊠ Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>8-5-0</u> |) 4 . | | | | |
| 2a)☐ This action is FINAL . 2b)⊠ This | action is non-final. | | | | |
| 3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213. | | | | | |
| Disposition of Claims | | | | | |
| 4) Claim(s) 15-19 is/are pending in the application 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdray 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 15-19 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or | vn from consideration. | | | | |
| Application Papers 9) The specification is objected to by the Examiner 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access | | and the Constitution | | | |
| Applicant may not request that any objection to the o | | | | | |
| Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correcti | on is required if the drawing(s | s) is objected to See 37 CFR 1 121(d) | | | |
| 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Exa | aminer. Note the attached | Office Action or form PTO-152. | | | |
| Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119 | | | | | |
| 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign pall All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of | have been received. have been received in Ap ty documents have been re (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). | plication No eceived in this National Stage | | | |
| Attachment(s) | | | | | |
| 1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date | Paper No(s)/ | mmary (PTO-413) Mail Date irmal Patent Application (PTO-152) | | | |

Art Unit: 1641

DETAILED ACTION

1. Applicants' response to the Office Action mailed on May 04, 2004 has been acknowledged. Currently, claims 15-19 are pending and under consideration.

Allowable Subject Matter

2. The indicated allowability of claim 16 is withdrawn in view of the newly discovered reference(s) to Glazer et al (USP#6,649,376). Rejections based on the newly cited reference(s) follow. The Examiner apologizes for any inconvenience.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- 4. Claims 15-16 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Glazer et al (USP#6,649,376).

The claims are broadly drawn to an assay method to determine a particular entity which induces a known biologic effect wherein the improvement comprising using a detectable label which is a fusion protein containing a phycobiliprotein domain and a second domain which undergoes the known biologic effect in the fusion protein upon encountering the particular entity.

Application/Control Number: 09/882,093

Art Unit: 1641

Glazer et al teaches a fusion protein containing a phycobiliprotein, which may have one or more functional bilin domains. The first or second domain of the fusion protein may be a substrate for an enzyme, which will naturally modify the phycobiliprotein (column 3, lines 47-62), which is a known biologic effect upon encountering the enzyme. The fusion phycobiliprotein can be used in vitro as affinity tags (column 2, lines 1-11).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 5. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 6. Claims 17-19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Bryan et al (USP#6,232,107).

The teaching of Bryan et al are set forth above and is different with respect to teaching a particular enzymes.

However, Bryan et al teaches fusion proteins and utilizing luciferase as a second domain that is able to catalyze substrates to produce a detectable change (column 31, lines 65-66 and column 32, lines 1-10).

It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in art to modify the reference of Bryan et al to include using known enzymes such as a ribozyme, phosphokinase or a Application/Control Number: 09/882,093

Art Unit: 1641

protease in the various assay methods and detection systems taught by the instant reference. These modifications with respect to the particular enzyme employed are routine optimizations that are almost always varied and used in immunoassay studies. Unless the result obtained in the instant application is a significant and unexpected difference over the prior art, it would have been *prima facie* obvious for one of ordinary skill in the art to substitute the known enzymes of claims 17-19 in the given assay parameters to assess biological activity as a means of optimizing the assays provided by the art.

Conclusion

No claims are allowed.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Deborah A Davis whose telephone number is (571) 272-0818. The examiner can normally be reached on 8-5 Monday thru Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Long Le can be reached on (571) 272-0823. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Art Unit: 1641

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic

Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Remsen Bldg. Room 3D58

October 25, 2004

LONG V. LE SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1600

10/29/04